

Chem 542

Homework for Part 3: Time-dependent QM

1. Consider in more detail time-dependent perturbation theory to calculate the transition probability from state  $|i\rangle$  of a diatomic molecule to state  $|j\rangle$ , induced by a Gaussian light pulse of frequency  $\omega$  interacting with the molecular dipole  $\mu$ . Assume that the molecular energy levels are known and that the interaction Hamiltonian is given by

$$V(t) = \hat{\mu}\mathcal{E} \cos(\omega t + \varphi) e^{-(t/\Delta)^2},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the field strength,  $\varphi$  the phase and  $\Delta t$  the duration of the pulse.

- a. Compute the matrix element of  $\cos\theta$  “explicitly” to show that only  $\Delta J = \pm 1$  transitions are allowed.  $\Delta J = 0$  transitions are allowed in nonlinear molecules (Q-branch). Give a physical reason for why they are not allowed in diatomic molecules. [Hints: can a diatomic ‘rotate’ about the internuclear axis? Also, “explicitly” means you can use integral formulas for spherical harmonics, Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, 3-j symbols or the like as known quantities.]
  - b. Evaluate the integral B.3.7.8 explicitly by rewriting the cosine in terms of complex exponentials to get the two integrals depending on the sum and difference of frequencies  $\omega_{fi}$  and  $\omega$ ; as in the lecture notes, assume that all phase-dependent terms average out (incoherent light) in the final answer.
  - c. As stated in the notes, the normalized lineshape of the transition look like a delta function as  $\Delta t \rightarrow \infty$ . Why would such a lineshape not be observed in a real molecule, even with a perfect high resolution light source?
2. Derive the equation for  $\langle A(t) \rangle$  in terms of eigenstate overlaps  $\langle 0|i\rangle$  and eigenenergies  $E_i$  discussed after equation B.3.1.18. As a special case, consider the operator  $U^*(t)$  and show that the autocorrelation-squared of the wavefunction,  $P(t) = |\langle 0|t\rangle|^2 = \sum I_i + \sum I_i I_j \cos(\omega_{ij}t)$ , where  $I_i = |\langle 0|i\rangle|^2$ . (Note: be careful to specify the range of  $i$  and  $j$  you sum over!)
  3. Let us calculate what the vibrational dipole autocorrelation function  $C(t)$  of a collection of vibrating diatomic molecules at temperature  $T$  looks like. The relevant dipole matrix elements in eq. B-73 in this case are

$$\langle v|\mu' \Delta r |v\pm 1\rangle \langle v\pm 1|\mu' \Delta r |v\rangle,$$

where  $\Delta r$  is the deviation of the bond distance from equilibrium. Here we have expanded  $\mu$  in a first-order Taylor series  $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu' \Delta r$ , and used the harmonic oscillator approximation for the vibrational wavefunction  $|v\rangle$  such that  $\Delta r$  only has matrix elements off-diagonal by  $\pm 1$ .

- a. Rather than performing the full quantum-mechanical trace over  $\exp[-E_0/kT]\mu(0)\mu(t)$ , consider a classical approximation where we replace the matrix elements of  $\Delta r$  in B-74 by

$$\Delta r(t) = \Delta r_{\max}(E) \cos(\omega_0 t).$$

Here  $\omega_0$  is the vibrational frequency of the molecule, and  $\Delta r_{\max}(E)$  is the energy-dependent maximum deviation. Compute  $C(t)$  by replacing the summation by an integral over the action variable  $I = hv$  and the quantum energy by  $E = hv(v + 1/2) \approx vI$ ; e.g. you may approximate the partition function by

$$Q = \sum_v \exp[-E(v)/kT] \approx \int_0^{\infty} dv \exp[-E(v)/kT] = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{\infty} \exp[-E(I)/kT] dI$$

and make similar approximations in the numerator of B.3.8.5 to obtain  $C(t)$ . Keep in mind that  $\Delta r_{\max}$ , the maximum deviation from equilibrium, is a function of  $E$  (and hence  $I$ ) which needs to be evaluated using the harmonic oscillator approximation.

- b. Calculate the line shape  $\Gamma(\omega)$  by inverse Fourier transform.
  
4. Show that the commutation relationship  $[x(t), p(t)] = i\hbar$  holds at all times. (Do it by explicitly introducing  $x_0$  and  $p_0$ , for which you know it holds, and applying the time evolution operators.
  
5. Show that the overlap of two states  $|\Psi\rangle$  and  $|\chi\rangle$  is preserved under the same unitary time evolution.
  
6. Qualitative wavepacket analysis: consider a repulsive potential of the form  $V = a/x$ .
  - a. Sketch the potential and four of the continuum eigenfunctions, choosing the conventional phase so the lobe at the turning point is positive.
  - b. Take four of these eigenstates and add them: draw the resulting wavepacket at  $t=0$ . [Of course, a real wavepacket would also contain all the in-between eigenstates.]
  - c. Sketch the wavepacket at  $t > 0$  when the eigenfunctions at the lowest and highest energy have acquired a relative phase of  $\pi$ .
  - d. The wavepacket at  $t=0$  has average momentum  $\approx 0$ . As it evolves, it acquires momentum and a momentum spread. Based on the energy spread  $\Delta E$  of the four eigenstates at  $t=0$ , the particle mass  $m$ , and the fact that at long time  $V \approx 0$ , how will the width of the wavepacket change at long times?
  
7. Consider a traveling Gaussian wavepacket

$$\Psi(x, t=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta x_0^2}} e^{ip_0 x/\hbar} e^{-(x-x_0)^2/4\Delta x_0^2}$$

- a. Show that the root mean square  $\Delta p_0$  about the average momentum  $p_0$  satisfies  $\Delta x_0 \Delta p_0 = \hbar/2$ . (The root mean square of operator  $A$  is  $\{\langle \Psi | A^2 | \Psi \rangle - \langle \Psi | A | \Psi \rangle^2\}^{1/2}$ )
- b. Let  $\Psi$  evolve as a free particle. Show that at some later time, the square of  $\Psi$  evolved as

$$|\Psi(x,t)|^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta x_t^2}} e^{-(x-x_t)^2/2\Delta x_t^2}$$

where  $x_t = x_0 + p_0 t/m$  and  $\Delta x_t^2 = \Delta x_0^2 + (\Delta p_0 t/m)^2$ ; in words, the center of the wavepacket follows the classical trajectory of a free particle, and the width increases in accordance with the spread in momentum governed by the Heisenberg principle.

[Hint: there are several ways to do this; probably the least laborious is to insert a complete set of momentum eigenstates, i.e.  $|\Psi(t)\rangle = |\langle x|t\rangle|^2 = |\int dp \langle x|p\rangle \langle p|t\rangle|^2 = |\int dp \langle x|p\rangle \langle p|U(t)|0\rangle|^2$ .  $U(t)$  is the free particle propagator  $\exp[-itp^2/2m\hbar]$ , which is easily handled in the momentum representation;  $\langle x|p\rangle$  is the plane wave in the momentum representation. Now the Gaussian integral can be done by completing the square.

8. A two-level system Hamiltonian  $H_0$  with energies  $E_0 = 0$  and  $E_1$  and eigenstates  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  is subject to a square EMP (electromagnetic pulse)  $\epsilon(t) = \epsilon$  (when  $0 \leq t \leq t_{\text{pulse}}$ ,  $\epsilon(t) = 0$  otherwise). The interaction Hamiltonian is  $H_{\text{pulse}} = \hat{\mu} \epsilon(t)$ , where the transition dipole operator is  $\hat{\mu} = |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|$ .

a. Write the Hamiltonian  $H_0$  and the transition dipole operator  $\hat{\mu}$  as a 2x2 matrices. Are both hermitian as they should be?

b. Compute the transition probability  $P_{01}(t) = |\langle 0|1\rangle|^2$  at  $t = t_{\text{pulse}}$  as a function of  $\omega = (E_1 - E_0)/\hbar$  and  $\mu$ , using first order time-dependent perturbation theory.

9. a. Solve problem 9 exactly, starting in state  $|\Psi(t=0)\rangle = |0\rangle$ , using the eigenstates  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  of  $H_0$  as your basis set. At  $t=0$ ,  $P_{00} = |\langle \Psi(t)|0\rangle|^2 = 1$  and  $P_{01} = |\langle \Psi(t)|1\rangle|^2 = 0$ , but later these probabilities will change.

b. The approximation in 9 says that  $P_{01}(t_{\text{pulse}})$  keeps increasing with pulse duration. Based on your exact solution, when does first order time-dependent perturbation theory break down?

[Hint: The total Hamiltonian  $H_0 + H_{\text{pulse}}$  is actually time-independent between  $t=0$  and  $t_{\text{pulse}}$ . It has its own eigenfunctions  $|0'\rangle$  and  $|1'\rangle$  which can be expressed in terms of  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  by unitary transformation. Such eigenfunctions are known as 'dressed states' or 'Floquet states' because they are eigenfunctions in the presence of the external field.]